

## Submission 30 – Sean Wright

I strongly object to the introduction of Remote ID for recreational drone users in Australia, on the grounds of:

- There have been zero recorded deaths from recreational drones anywhere in the world, ever. So Remote ID would do nothing to improve an already highly safe hobby
- Remote ID would not help authorities with illegal use, since anyone wanting to use a drone illegally would not use Remote ID in the first place
- Remote ID would do nothing to improve situational awareness with other aircraft, since other aircraft use completely different systems, that are not compatible
- Costs are too high to be borne by recreational users, and the modules are too large to fit in most small drones without a significant weight/performance penalty
- Network connectivity is unfeasible in Australia where mobile coverage is patchy in rural areas, which is precisely where drones are typically flown
- Privacy and security of drone operators. If Remote ID provides the exact location of the operator, they could be targeted by members of the public who may cause them to lose concentration and jeopardise control of the drone