Submission 07 – Wilfie Harvey

as a top 3 Australian drone racer, Enforcing Remote ID for racing drones in Australia can be a contentious issue, and there are arguments both for and against its implementation.. However, I'll outline some reasons why Remote ID may not be widely supported for racing drones:

Privacy concerns: Remote ID requires drones to constantly broadcast identifying information, such as the drone operator's location and registration details. This information can potentially infringe upon individuals' privacy rights, as it may enable tracking and identification of drone pilots even during their recreational activities.

Unnecessary burden on hobbyists: Racing drones are typically operated by hobbyists and enthusiasts who engage in non-commercial, recreational activities. Implementing Remote ID for racing drones would place an additional burden on these individuals, requiring them to invest in new equipment and comply with strict regulations, potentially deterring participation in the hobby.

Technological limitations: Existing Remote ID systems are primarily designed for larger, commercially operated drones. These systems may not be suitable or practical for racing drones, which are often small, agile, and operate at high speeds. Implementing Remote ID for racing drones would require developing and deploying specialized systems that can accommodate the unique needs and characteristics of these drones.

Enforcement challenges: Enforcing Remote ID for racing drones can be challenging due to the nature of the activity. Racing drones are often flown in organized events or informal gatherings where there may not be a centralized authority or infrastructure to monitor and enforce compliance. Ensuring widespread adherence to Remote ID regulations would require significant resources and coordination, which may not be feasible or cost-effective.

Limited safety benefits: Unlike commercial drones, racing drones are typically flown in controlled environments, such as designated race tracks or open fields, where there is minimal risk of interfering with manned aircraft or other sensitive areas. As a result, the safety benefits gained from implementing Remote ID for racing drones may be relatively limited compared to the potential burdens and drawbacks associated with its enforcement.

It's worth noting that regulations and opinions on this matter may evolve over time, and different countries may have varying perspectives on Remote ID implementation. Ultimately, striking a balance between safety, privacy, and the interests of recreational drone operators is crucial when considering the enforcement of Remote ID for racing drones.