

Submission 42 – Confidential

As a recreational camera drone owner, I consider that the introduction of remote ID is not desirable.

I fly in accordance with the rules and this helps me keep my operation safe. Those who want to fly outside of the rules with malicious intent will find a way to disable the system.

I believe if the proposal was examined on the basis of cost versus risk reduction it would not be a reasonable use of resources. After all, as far as I know, no one has been killed or injured by a drone impact with a manned aircraft in Australia. The remote id proposals do not really address that risk anyway.

Remote ID is an unnecessary burden on recreational drone flyers and should not be implemented.