Submission 129 – Confidential

I want to put on record that I do not support Remote ID in any form in Australia and I will not comply with it.

I find the concept far over-reaching in terms of privacy of the individual and onerous requirements to enjoy a hobby that has very little risks associate with it.

The existing legislation and guidelines for remote control models and 'drones' is adequate in its current form. There are already laws which govern this as a recreational hobby and as a commercial venture.

To this date there has been no serious risks associated (and no prior case where serious damage or injury has occurred) from remote control flying that warrants this kind of legislation. A risk assessment needs to be conducted and weighed against whether this legislation will penalize law abiding citizens and is correctly justified against those risks.

I believe that all this legislation will do is create additional burden on the commercial and hobby drone industry, burden pilots with additional requirements and create another tax and revenue stream for the Government and its departments.

There are also considerations to consider like: the cost of the Remote ID modules, Reto-fitting to existing aircraft, additional weight and aerodynamic stress to the aircraft, spoofing and 'ghosting' Remote ID signatures etc...

Further, if criminals want to use remotely piloted vehicles in a nefarious way, Remote ID will not do anything to prevent it, in fact it creates another attack vector on infrastructure if spoofing is involved.

I do not support this legislation and will actively vote against any representative, governing body or proponent that promotes this idea.